

Table of Contents Part I - Civil Forfeiture

I. The Nature of Civil Forfeiture- - - - - 1

II. Seizure of Property for Civil Forfeiture- - - - - 1

A. Standard for seizure- - - - -	2
B. Warrantless seizures- - - - -	2
1. Exceptions to the Fourth Amendment- - - - -	2
C. The probable cause standard- - - - -	3
D. Out-of-district seizure warrants- - - - -	5
E. Anticipatory seizure warrants- - - - -	5
F. Appeals - - - - -	5
1. Government may appeal denial of application for seizure warrant- - - -	5
2. Issuance of seizure warrant is not appealable- - - - -	5
G. Seizure of particular kinds of property- - - - -	6
1. Real property- - - - -	6
2. Bank accounts - - - - -	6
H. Remedy for illegal seizure/suppression of evidence- - - - -	6
1. The Fourth Amendment exclusionary rule applies to civil forfeiture cases- -	6
2. But the claimant/owner may lack standing to contest the seizure- - - - -	7
3. Although the illegal seizure of the <i>res</i> that is the subject of <i>in rem</i> forfeiture may require suppression of evidence, it does not require dismissal of the forfeiture complaint- -	7
4. Although the <i>res</i> itself may not be used to establish grounds for forfeiture, forfeiture may be established with independently derived evidence- - - - -	8
5. But if the Government has no other evidence to support the forfeiture - - -	9
6. Collateral estoppel on suppression issues- - - - -	9
I. Motion for return of property pending trial based on illegal seizure- - - - -	10
1. In general, the court does not have jurisdiction to entertain a Rule 41 (e) motion for return of seized property once forfeiture is commenced- - - - -	10
2. But some courts hold that a claimant is entitled to a post-seizure, pretrial probable cause hearing- - - - -	11
3. Most courts, however, exercise anomalous jurisdiction over a Rule 41(g) motion only in special circumstances- - - - -	11
4. Whether courts will continue to exercise anomalous jurisdiction to consider Rule 41(g) motions under CAFRA is unclear- - - - -	13
5. Inclusion of property in a criminal indictment - - - - -	14
6. Criminal court lacks jurisdiction to grant Rule 41(g) motion when civil forfeiture is pending - - -	14
7. Court lacks jurisdiction over property seized by state - - - - -	14
J. Motion for pretrial release of seized property to avoid hardship - - - - -	15
K. Maintaining evidentiary value of seized property - - - - -	15

III. Administrative Forfeiture - - - - - . 15

A. Overview	16
1. Reasons for administrative forfeiture - - - - -	16
2. Property eligible for administrative forfeiture - - - - -	16

3. Summary of administrative forfeiture procedure - - - - -	17
B. Jurisdiction	17
C. Time for sending notice - .. - - - - -	17
D. Manner of sending notice - - - - -	18
1. Constitutional and statutory standards - - - - -	18
2. It is not necessary that the written notice actually be received - - - - -	18
3. But if notice is returned undelivered - - - - -	20
4. Actual notice comports with due process - - - - -	20
5. Service of notice on attorney - - - - -	21
6. Service of notice in English - - - - -	22
E. Special rules for sending notice to prisoners - - - - -	22
1. Government must attempt to serve prisoners at their place of incarceration - - - - -	22
F. Person entitled to receive notice - - - - -	23
G. Content of notice - - - - -	24
H. Time for filing claim - - - - -	25
I. Cost bond - - - - -	25
J. Declaration of forfeiture - - - - -	25
K. Destruction of evidence - - - - -	25
L. Unilateral decision not to pursue forfeiture - - - - -	25
1. Quick release policy - - - - -	25
2. Attorney's fees - - - - -	26
3. Storage costs - - - - -	26

IV. Judicial Review of Administrative Forfeiture - - - - - 26

A. Scope of review - - - - -	26
1. District court has jurisdiction to review administrative forfeiture for violations of due process - - - - -	26
2. Government cannot excuse lack of notice by claiming it never initiated forfeiture proceedings - - - - -	28
3. Court of Federal Claims has no jurisdiction - - - - -	29
B. Statutory basis for review - - - - -	29
1. Section 983(e) - - -	29
2. Causes of action for equitable relief - - - - -	29
3. Claimant may not use Federal Tort Claims Act - - - - -	30
4. Motion to dismiss due process claim - - - - -	31
5. Time to respond to due process claim - - - - -	31
C. Statute of limitations on due process challenge - - - - -	31
1. Section 983(e)(3) - - -	31
2. Claimant had 6 years to file a challenge - - - - -	31
3. The Second and Third Circuits - - - - -	32
4. Courts are reluctant to allow laches - - - - -	33
D. Subject matter jurisdiction - - - - -	34
E. Burden of proof - - - - -	35
F. Mootness - - -	35
G. Venue for motion for return of seized property - - - - -	35
H. Standing - - -	35

1. Any person entitled to notice of the forfeiture proceeding has standing to challenge an administrative forfeiture for lack of notice - - -	35
2. Pre-CAFRA cases - - - - -	36
I. Merits of section 983(e) challenge - - - - -	36
J. Remedy for failure to provide adequate notice - - - - -	36
1. If the court vacates an administrative forfeiture, the Government may commence a new forfeiture proceeding - - - - -	36
2. Pre-CAFRA law - - - - -	36
3. Courts were divided as to what would happen if the statute of limitations barred the Government from filing a new forfeiture action - - - - -	37
4. Equitable tolling - - - - -	38
5. Even if Government cannot refile forfeiture case, return of property is not automatic - - - -	39
6. Compensatory damages not available - - - - -	39
7. If the Government has already sold the property - - - - -	40
8. Federal Government is not liable for seizures by state and local police - - - - -	40

V. Adoptive Forfeitures - - - - - .40

A. Adopting a state forfeiture is proper - - - - -	40
B. State turnover orders - - - - -	40
1. Some states have laws requiring local officials to seek a turnover order - - - - -	40
2. A federal agency may adopt the forfeiture notwithstanding a violation of state law - - - -	40
3. The federal agency must nevertheless have <i>in rem</i> jurisdiction over the property - - - -	41
C. Time limits on adoptive forfeitures - - - - -	41
1. Section 983(a)(I)(A)(iv) - - - - -	41
2. Statute of limitations - - - - -	41
3. United States' 30-day policy on adoptions - - - - -	41

D. Notice to property owner of adoption of forfeiture- - - - -	41
--	----

VI. Seizure and Forfeiture of Real Property- - - - - .42

A. Section 98542	
1. All civil forfeitures of real property commenced on or after August 23, 2000, are governed by 18 U. S.C. 985- - - - -	42
2. Section 985(c) requires the Government to post the property and serve the owner with a copy of the complaint and notice of the deadlines for contesting the action- - - -	42
3. Sanction for failure to comply with section 985(c) - - - - -	42
B. Fifth Amendment due process- - - - -	42
1. Government may not seize real property without affording the owner notice and opportunity for pre seizure hearing- - - - -	42
2. Government may file <i>/is pendens</i> and obtain a writ of entry - - - - -	42
3. Pre-CAFRA, the Eleventh Circuit held that the issuance of an arrest warrant <i>in rem</i> violated due process- - - - -	43
4. Government no longer needs to obtain an arrest warrant <i>in rem</i> - - - - -	44
C. Seizure of business - - - - -	44
1. Government may not seize a business <i>ex parte</i> without hearing- - - - -	44

2. Government may be required to make arrangements to continue business in operation- .	44
D. Personal property- - - - -	44
E. Exigent circumstances- - - - -	45
1. No hearing is required before the court issues a warrant- - - - -	45
Prompt post-seizure hearing- - - - -	46
3. Remedy for seizure without exigent circumstances- - - - -	46
4. Remedy for failure to establish probable cause at the section 985(e) hearing- - -	46
F. Issues at <i>Good</i> hearing- - - - -	46
1. Probable cause- - - - -	46
2. CAFRA codified <i>Good</i> at section 985(d)(l)(B) - - - - -	47
G. Interlocutory appeal- - - - -	47
H. Remedy for <i>Good</i> violation- - - - -	47
1. The Eighth Circuit requires dismissal of the forfeiture case- - - - -	48
2. The majority rule is that the claimant is entitled to return of rents and profits- - -	48
3. Disgorgement of rents/compensatory damages- - - - -	48
4. Rule 60(b) motion may be used as the vehicle- - - - -	50

VII. Pretrial Restraining Orders- - - - - 50

VIII. Judicial Forfeiture: Claim, Complaint, and Claim & Answer- - - - - 50

A. Filing a claim in the administrative forfeiture proceeding - 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(2) - - - -	50
1. A claim must be filed by the date specified- - - - -	50
2. The claim is "filed" on the date it is received by the seizing agency- - - -	51
3. The claim must identify the property being claimed- - - - -	51
4. The cost bond is abolished- - - - -	51
5. Fifth Amendment issues- - - - -	51
B. Time for filing a complaint: 18 U.S.C. § 983(a)(3) - - - - -	52
1. The Government has 90 days from the filing of the claim- - - - -	52
2. The 90 days begins to run from the date the claim is received- - - - -	52
3. If there is a bona fide dispute- - - - -	52
4. Under pre-CAFRA law, there was no filing deadline- - - - -	52
5. Under 21 U.S.C. §888(c) (repealed), the Government had 60 days- - - - -	54
6. The Government has the option of switching to criminal forfeiture- - - - -	54
C. Arrest warrants <i>in rem</i> -Rule (C)(2) - - - - -	55
1. At the time a complaint is filed, the court issues a warrant for the arrest of the property- -	55
2. "Forthwith" requirement-Rule E(4) - - - - -	55
3. Service of process- - - - -	56
D. Jurisdiction - - - - -	56
1. Subject matter jurisdiction- - - - -	56
2. <i>In rem</i> jurisdiction- - - - -	57
3. Intangible property- - - - -	58
4. Adoptive forfeitures and concurrent jurisdiction - - - - -	58
5. <i>In rem</i> jurisdiction over property located abroad- - - -	60
6. Retroactive application of amendments to section 1355- - - - -	61
7. Court does not lose <i>in rem</i> jurisdiction- - - - -	61
8. Conversion of cash to another form- - - - -	61

E. Venue - - - - -	62
1. District where the crime occurred- - - - -	62
2. Change of venue - - - - -	62
3. Property located abroad- - - - -	62
F. Contents of the complaint- - - - -	63
1. Standard for filing a complaint- - - - -	63
2. Purpose of the particularity requirement- - - - -	64
3. Enforcement of the particularity requirement- - - - -	65
4. Examples of complaints found to satisfy the reasonable belief standard- - - - -	65
5. Complaint had to be dismissed if the Government did not have probable cause at the time the complaint was filed- - - - -	66
6. Section 983(a)(3)(D) legislatively overrules these cases- - - - -	67
7. It is not necessary to identify specific transactions in the complaint- - - - -	67
8. Complaint need not negate an affirmative defense- - - - -	68
9. Alleging multiple legal theories- - - - -	68
10. Including facts in motion for summary judgment- - - - -	68
11. Government is not required to identify the portion of the property traceable to money laundering- - - - -	68
12. Pleading particular legal theories- - - - -	69
13. Application of Rule 9(b)- - - - -	69
G. Motion to dismiss the complaint- - - - -	70
1. Standard for surviving motion to dismiss- - - - -	70
2. Forfeitability is determined at the time of trial- - - - -	70
3. Complaint may not be dismissed <i>sua sponte</i> - - - - -	71
4. Motion to dismiss should be denied where complaint, on its face, satisfies probable cause requirement- - - - -	71
5. Evidence outside the pleadings- - - - -	71
6. Cases where motion to dismiss granted- - - - -	72
7. Eighth Amendment grounds- - - - -	72
8. Government's motion to dismiss its own complaint- - - - -	73
H. Amending the complaint- - - - -	73
I. Claim and answer- - - - -	73
1. Time for filing claim- - - - -	73
2. Claim must be verified- - - - -	74
3. Claim must identify the claimant- - - - -	75
4. Claim must state nature of claimant's interest- - - - -	75
5. Claim filed by bailee must identify bailor - - - - -	75
6. Claim filed in administrative forfeiture is no substitute- - - - -	75
7. Court has discretion to waive requirements of Rule C(6)- - - - -	76
8. <i>Pro se</i> claims- - - - -	76
9. Excusable neglect- - - - -	77
10. Fifth Amendment issues- - - - -	77
11. Answer- - - - -	77
12. Failure to comply with Rule C - - - - -	78

IX. Dispositive Pretrial Motions- - - - - 80

A. Fugitive disentitlement doctrine- - - - -	80
1. Before CAFRA, a fugitive in a related criminal case was not barred - - - - -	80
2. CAFRA reinstates the fugitive disentitlement doctrine- - - - -	80
3. Required elements of section 2466 - - - - -	80
4. Retroactive application of section 2466 - - - - -	80
5. Civil case must be related to a pending criminal prosecution- - - - -	81
6. Notice of the criminal proceedings- - - - -	81
7. The related criminal case can be either state or federal- - - - -	81
8. Fleeing or evading the jurisdiction of the court - - - - -	81
9. Fugitive disentitlement doctrine applies to corporations- - - - -	81
B. Default judgment - - - - -	81
C. Statute of limitations- - - - -	82
1. The limitations period for civil forfeiture actions is specified in 19 U.S.C. § 1621 - - -	82
2. The limitations period runs from the date of the discovery of the offense- - - - -	82
3. The key date is when <i>federal</i> agents became aware of the offense- - - - -	82
4. Prior to CAFRA, the courts were divided- - - - -	83
5. CAFRA resolved this issue-section 1621 now contains two limitations periods- - -	83
6. When there is a continuing course of conduct, the limitations period starts anew- - -	83
7. Interplay of section 1621 and I-year limit under section 984 - - - - -	84
8. Statute of limitations is an affirmative defense- - - - -	84
9. Statute of limitations is tolled during period when the claimant is a fugitive- - -	85
10. Statute of limitations is tolled while forfeiture is barred- - - - -	85
11. Statute of limitations is tolled while property is concealed or absent- - - - -	85
12. Property located abroad is "absent" - - - - -	86
X. Pretrial Procedural Issues - - - - -	86
A. Discovery - - - - -	86
1. Protective orders- - - - -	86
2. Informants - - - - -	86
3. Request for admissions- - - - -	86
4. Claimant not entitled to take deposition of AUSA - - - - -	87
5. Sanctions for failure to comply with discovery- - - - -	87
6. Perjury during discovery- - - - -	87
B. Stay of civil forfeiture pending criminal case- - - - -	88
1. Section 981 (g) - - - - -	88
2. Only a claimant may seek a stay- - - - -	88
3. Pre-CAFRA, courts were divided over whether it was permissible to grant a pre indictment stay- - - - -	88
4. Pre-CAFRA cases granting stay to avoid Fifth Amendment problems- - - - -	89
5. Pre-CAFRA cases denying stay despite Fifth Amendment problems- - - - -	89
6. A "related criminal case" includes a case in which persons other than the claimants are defendants- - - - -	90
7. Conclusory allegations of impact on criminal case do not constitute "good cause" - - -	90
8. Stay denied where it would result in indefinite delay- - - - -	90
9. Government's appeal from denial of stay- - - - -	90
C. Interlocutory sale- - - - -	90

1. Property may be sold to avoid deterioration- - - - - 90
2. Mortgage foreclosure- - - - -91
- D. Immunity - - - - - 91
- E. Civil restraining orders- - - - - 91
- F. Appointment of counsel- - - - - 92

XI. Forfeitability: Nexus Between the Property and the Offense- - - - -, 92

- A. Pre-CAFRA law-the probable cause standard- - - - - 93
 1. Probable cause for forfeiture is tested by the same criteria- - - - - 93
 2. Government must have "reasonable grounds" - - - - - 93
 3. Hearsay admissible to show probable cause- - - - - 94
- B. Proceeds cases- - - - -95
 1. The preponderance standard: In general, circumstantial evidence establishes that money is proceeds of crime- - - - - 95
 2. Cash seizures: highway/airport/passenger train stops- - - - - 96
 3. Adverse decisions on cash seizures- - - - - 100
 4. Dog sniffs- - - - - 101
 5. Bank accounts- - - - - 101
 6. Luxury items/residences-lack of legitimate income- - - - -102
 7. Property intended to be used to buy drugs- - - - -103
- C. Negating a "legitimate source" defense- - - - - 103
 1. The fact that the third party used clean money to acquire his or her interest does not affect the forfeitability of the property- - - - - 103
 2. Under pre-CAFRA law, evidence of possible legitimate sources of income was insufficient to establish legitimate source defense without records- - - - - 103
 3. Same is true under the post-CAFRA preponderance of the evidence standard- - - -103
 4. Cross-examination - - - - - 104
- D. Facilitating property-the substantial connection test- - - - - 104
 1. The substantial connection test was the rule in most circuits before CAFRA - - - - 104
 2. The substantial connection requirement does not apply in proceeds cases- - - -105
 3. Substantial connection between property and drug trafficking- - - - - 105
 4. In real property cases, forfeiture applies to the entire tract- - - - - 107
 5. Probable cause for seizure of facilitating property- - - - - 107
- E. Money laundering cases- - - - -107
 1. What constitutes property "involved in" the money laundering offense- - - - 107
 2. *Mens rea* for money laundering- - - - - 107
 3. Black market dollars derived from illegal activity are subject to forfeiture even if the claimant acquired them in exchange for clean money.- - - - 108
- F. Elements of the offense- - - - - 108
- G. Summary judgment on the forfeitability issue- - - - -108
 1. At the summary judgment stage, forfeitability is determined on the basis of all of the facts - - - 108
 2. Pre-CAFRA - - - - - 108
 3. Post-CAFRA - - - - - 109
 4. Implausible explanation is not sufficient to defeat summary judgment- - - - 110
 5. Reliance on conviction to establish forfeitability - - - - 111

6. Summary judgment where mental intent is an issue- - - - - 111
7. Summary judgment where there is a parallel criminal case- - - - - 112
8. Summary judgment where the Fifth Amendment is asserted- - - - - 112
9. Summary judgment for the *claimant*- - - - - 112

XII. Tracing- - - - - 112

- A. Forfeiture applies to funds, not to bank accounts- - - - -112
- B. Forfeiture of entire account may be based on circumstantial evidence- - - - - 113
- C. Government must trace money to the offense giving rise to forfeiture- - - - - 113
- D. Tracing the seized property to criminal activity is part of the Government's burden- - - 113
- E. Examples of property found traceable to the offense- - - - - 114
- F. Application of tracing rules- - - - -115
 1. Proceeds forfeitures are limited to the portion traceable- - - - - 115
 2. Insurance proceeds- - - - -115
 3. Tracing is part of the Government's burden- - - - -115
 4. *Voigt* and cases distinguishing *Voigt*- - - - - 115
- G. Fungible property/section 984 - - - - - 116
 1. Government need not satisfy tracing requirement in cases where a bank account is seized within 1 year of date when the offense occurred- - - - - 116
 2. The 1-year limitations period- - - - - 117
 3. Section 984 is not a separate cause of action- - - - - 117
 4. Section 984 only applies if the Government cannot trace the property directly- - 118
 5. Section 984 may be invoked even if the Government knows where the directly forfeitable property has gone- - - - -118
 6. Interbank account exception- - - - - 119
 7. Section 984 does not apply retroactively- - - - - 119
 8. The Third Circuit has suggested that all money is fungible in any event - - - - 120
- H. Foreign deposits/correspondent accounts- - - - - 120

XIII. Standing and Ownership- - - - - 120

- A. Standing and ownership are different concepts- - - - - .120
 1. Standing is a threshold question in every civil forfeiture case- - - - - 120
 2. Standing requires only a "colorable interest" in the property- - - - - 120
 3. Ownership is an element of the claimant's affirmative defense- - - - - 121
 4. A person may have standing yet fail to satisfy the ownership element- - - - - 122
 5. Standing is sufficient to challenge forfeitability - - - - - 123
- B. Simple possession, claim of ownership, or potential injury are sufficient for standing- - 123
 1. Simple claim of ownership is sufficient for standing- - - - - 123
 2. Simple possession is sufficient, if accompanied by an explanation- - - - - 124
 3. Naked possession by unknowing custodian is *not* sufficient for standing- - - - 125
 4. Person whose name is on the title has standing- - - - - 125
 5. Standing of lienholder- - - - - 126
 6. Standing to contest forfeiture of bank accounts- - - - -126
 7. Standing of bailee - - - - - 127
 8. Standing of bailor - - - - - 127
 9. Standing of decedent's estate- - - - - 128

10. Potential injuries may be sufficient for standing- - - - - 128
11. Standing to challenge forfeiture of corporate assets- - - - - 129
12. *Alter ego* corporation and "sham" trust lack standing- - - - - 129
13. Standing of trust beneficiaries- - - - - 129
14. Unsecured creditors lack standing- - - - - 130
15. Enforcement of the standing requirements may preclude victims- - - - - 130
16. Criminal defendant may be barred- - - - -130
- C. Procedure for determining standing- - - - - 130
 1. Standing is a threshold question for the court- - - - - 130
 2. Failure to establish standing may result in summary judgment- - - - - 131
 3. Claimant's prior statements denying ownership may be used to rebut standing- - 132
 4. Claimant must have standing as to each asset- - - - - 132
- D. An owner must have a legal interest in the property- - - - - 132
 1. The nature of the claimant's interest is determined by state law- - - - - 132
 2. Federal law determines whether claimant's interest is sufficient- - - - - 134
 3. The claimant must exercise dominion and control- - - - -134
 4. Sham transaction does not convey ownership- - - - - 136
 5. Unsecured creditors are not owners- - - - - 136
 6. Special rule for correspondent bank accounts- - - - -138
- E. Temporal requirement/relation back doctrine- - - - - 138
 1. Pre-CAFRA, Government could not use relation back doctrine- - - - - 138
 2. Post-CAFRA, sections 983(d)(2) and (3) divide claimants into two categories- - - 139

XIV. The Innocent Owner Defense- - - - - 139

- A. Innocent owner defense is not constitutionally required- - - - - 139
 1. Pre-CAFRA cases- - - - - 139
 2. CAFRA carve-out- - - - - , 140
 3. Innocent owner defense does not apply to contraband- - - - - 140
- B. Burden of proof on innocent owner defense- - - - -140
 1. Burden shifts to claimant to establish innocent owner defense by preponderance of the evidence- - - - - 140
 2. Burden shifting is not unconstitutional- - - - -141
- C. Innocent owner defense has two elements- - - - - 141
- D. Ownership - - - - - 141
- E. Innocence-interests in existence when the crime occurred- - - - -141
 1. The test is disjunctive - - - - - 141
 2. Knowledge - - - - - 142
 3. Employee's knowledge may be imputed to the corporation- - - - - 143
 4. Knowledge equates with willful blindness- - - - - 144
 5. Definition of "willful blindness" - - - - - 145
 6. The "all reasonable steps" test- - - - - 145
- F. After-acquired interests- - - - - 148
 1. Under pre-CAFRA law, there was no distinction between preexisting and after-acquired interests- - - - - 148
 2. Some courts assessed the state of mind at the time the owner acquired the property- - 148
 3. Other circuits held that the state of mind was determined as of the time the claimant acquired

the property- - - - -	148
4. CAFRA resolves both problems- - - - -	149
5. A person who buys real property on which a <i>lis pendens</i> has been filed is not a bona fide purchaser- - - - -	150
6. The bona fide purchaser requirement is virtually identical to the requirement in the criminal statute- - - - -	150
7. Bona fide purchaser requirement in black market cases- - - - -	150
G. Summary judgment on the innocent owner defense- - - - -	151
1. Where claimant offers no evidence to support any of his or her affirmative defenses- - -	151
2. Government entitled to summary judgment if claimant is not an owner- - - -	151
3. Claimant must do more than make general denials- - - -	151
4. When mental state of claimant is at issue- - - - -	152
5. Government is entitled to summary judgment where denial of knowledge is totally inconsistent with uncontested facts- - - - -	153
6. Summary judgment on the failure to take all reasonable steps- - - - -	153
7. Court does not reach innocent owner issue on motion for summary judgment until Government has established the forfeitability- - - - -	154
8. Summary judgment for the claimant- - - - -	154
H. Retroactive application of section 983(d)- - - - -	154

XV. Trial Procedure - - - - - 154

A. Jury trial- - - - -	154
B. Burden of proof - - - - -	154
1. Post-CAFRA cases- - - - -	155
2. Pre-CAFRA cases- - - - -	155
3. CAFRA carve-out- - - - -	155
C. Jury instructions- - - - -	156
D. Bifurcation of trial - - - - -	156
1. Pre-CAFRA, bifurcation of the trial was required- - - - -	156
E. Peremptory challenges- - - - -	156
F. Joinder and severance- - - - -	156
1. Separate trials not required where multiple claimants' interests are not hostile- -	156
2. <i>In rem</i> actions against separate bank accounts should be filed as separate actions- - -	157
G. Evidence - - - - -	157
H. Invoking the Fifth Amendment- - - - -	157
1. Court may draw adverse inference from invocation of the Fifth Amendment- - -	157
2. Invoking the Fifth Amendment does not relieve claimant of burden of proof - - -	158
I. Confrontation Clause- - - - -	158

XVI. Post-Trial and Other Issues- - - - - 158

A. Stay pending appeal- - - - -	158
1. 28 U.S.C. § 1355(c)- - - - -	158
2. Cases holding that stays pending appeal of forfeitures are not mandatory- - - -	159
B. Certificate of reasonable cause- - - - -	159
C. Post-trial motion for return of forfeited property- - - - -	159
1. Motion for the return of seized property is barred- - - - -	159

2. Rule 60(b) motions- - - - -	160
3. Rule 41(e) motions- - - - -	160
D. Division of jointly held property- - - - -	160
E. Prejudgment interest- - - - -	161
1. Section 2465(b) provides for the payment of prejudgment interest- - - - -	161
2. Pre-CAFRA, the circuits were split- - - - -	161
F. Abatement - - - - -	162
G. Attorney's fees- - - - -	162
1. EAJA fees- - - - -	162
2. Section 2465(b) - - - - -	164
3. Calculating the amount of the fee award- - - - -	165
H. Actions against the Government- - - - -	165
1. Federal Tort Claims Act- - - - -	165
2. Replevin (18 U.S.C. §981(c)) - - - - -	165
I. Substitute <i>res</i> - - - - -	165

XVII. Parallel Civil Forfeiture and Criminal Prosecution- - - - - 165

A. The Government is entitled to file parallel civil forfeiture- - - - -	165
1. Parallel case may be filed while criminal case is pending- - - - -	165
2. Civil forfeiture necessary where wrongdoer has died- - - - -	166
B. Civil forfeiture does not implicate double jeopardy- - - - -	166
C. No criminal conviction required- - - - -	167
D. Collateral <i>estoppel/res judicata</i> - - - - -	168
1. Collateral estoppel bars parties from relitigating, in civil proceeding- - - - -	168
2. Collateral estoppel does not apply to issues determined at a sentencing hearing in a criminal case - - - - -	169
3. <i>Res judicata</i> does not bar civil forfeiture- - - - -	169
E. Effect of civil forfeiture on criminal case- - - - -	169
1. Civil forfeiture is not a basis for downward departure- - - - -	169
2. Assistance in civil forfeiture is not "substantial assistance" - - - - -	169
3. Voluntary surrender of meritorious defense- - - - -	170
4. Relationship of forfeiture and criminal fine- - - - -	170
5. Court may not grant motion for return of seized property in criminal case- - -	170
6. Appeal in one case does not affect jurisdiction of the district court- - - - -	170
7. Civil forfeiture may follow criminal case in which defendant was ordered to pay restitution- - - - 170	
F. Use of grand jury information- - - - -	170

XVIII. Application of CAFRA

A. Application to pending cases- - - - -	171
1. CAFRA does not apply to cases pending on appeal- - - - -	171
2. Cases on remand- - - - -	171
3. CAFRA only applies to forfeiture cases commenced on or after August 23, 2000 - - -	172
4. Application of section 983(e) to administrative forfeiture cases commenced before August 23, 2000 - - - - -	174

5. Amended complaints filed after August 23,2000 - - - - -	174
6. Sixth Circuit cases- - - - -	174
B. Retroactivity - - - - -	175
1. Forfeiture of all proceeds, under section 981(a)(1)(C), applies retroactively- - - -	175
2. Civil forfeiture statutes are not penal in nature- - - - -	175
3. Money laundering forfeitures- - - - -	175
4. FIRREA forfeitures- - - - -	175
C. Legislative history- - - - -	176
D. Rules of statutory interpretation- - - - -	176
XIX. Forfeiture and Restitution- - - - -	176
XX. Policy Directives- - - - -	176
A. Policies create no enforceable rights- - - - -	176
XXI. Forfeiture and Taxes- - - - -	177
A. Forfeiture does not satisfy the claimant's tax liability; forfeiture does not give defendant a tax deduction.- - - - -	177
B. Government is not liable for state taxes that accrue on forfeited property- - - - -	177
C. Forfeiture Fund versus IRS - - - - -	177
XXII. Forfeiture and Bankruptcy- - - - -	178
A. Courts are divided as to whether property subject to forfeiture is part of the bankruptcy estate- 178	
1. "Automatic stay" does not bar forfeiture proceedings- - - - -	178
2. Automatic stay applies to civil forfeiture proceedings- - - - -	178
B. Forfeitures that predate bankruptcy filing- - - - -	178
C. Settlement with bankruptcy trustee- - - - -	179
XXIII. Obtaining Financial Records- - - - -	179
A. Right to Financial Privacy Act- - - - -	179
B. Use of administrative subpoena- - - - -	179
C. Mutual legal assistance- - - - -	179
XXIV. Constitutional Issues - - - - -	179
A. Right to counsel- - - - -	179
B. Takings Clause- - - - -	179
C. Supremacy Clause - - - - -	180
D. Vagueness- - - - -	180
XXV. Substantive Forfeiture Statutes - - - - -	181
A. Proceeds and "traceable" property- - - - -	181
1. Section 981(a)(2)- - - - -	181
2. Property that appreciates in value- - - - -	181
3. Insurance proceeds and other traceable property - - - - -	181
4. Proceeds of a false loan or bank fraud- - - - -	181

5. Interest- - - - -	182
B. Facilitating real property (21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(7)- - - - -	182
C. Firearms - - - - -	183
1. 120-day rule - - - - -	183
2. Application of section 924(d) - - - - -	183
D. Vehicles - - - - -	184
E. FIRREA - - - - -	184
F. Alien smuggling - - - - -	184
G. Customs offenses - - - - -	185
H. Gambling - - - - -	185
I. IEEPA - - - - -	185
J. Foreign tax offenses- - - - -	185
K. Intangible property- - - - -	186
XXVI. When Forfeiture Is Unnecessary- - - - -	186
A. Proceeds - - - - -	186
B. Restitution - - - - -	186
C. Sting money/bribe money - - - - -	186
D. Instrumentalities - - - - -	187
E. Evidence in the criminal case- - - - -	187
F. Firearms - - - - -	187
1. The Fifth Circuit holds that the firearm must be forfeited - - - - -	187
2. Government fails to initiate forfeiture proceedings, it may nevertheless refuse to return a firearm to a convicted felon - - - - -	187
G. Drug forfeitures/contraband- - - - -	188
H. Non-innocent owner - - - - -	188
I. Contraband - - - - -	188
J. Laches - - - - -	189
XXVII. Forfeiture and Retirement Plans - - - - -	189
XXVIII. International Forfeitures- - - - -	189
A. Enforcement of foreign judgments and restraining orders- - - - -	189
B. Abstention doctrine- - - - -	189
C. Section 2314 - - - - -	189

Table of Contents

Part II-Criminal Forfeiture

I. Scope and Purpose of Criminal Forfeiture - - - - -	1
A. Criminal forfeiture requires a conviction - - - - -	1
B. Only property involved in the offense of conviction may be forfeited - - - - -	2
C. Only the defendant's property can be forfeited - - - - -	2
D. Property held by third party nominees - - - - -	3
E. Court may disregard corporate form - - - - -	5

F. Defendant may forfeit his or her interest in property held by tenants in common or partnership - 5

G. Property transferred after-the-fact to non-bona fide purchasers - - - - - 5

II. Criminal Forfeiture Procedure - - - - - 6

A. In general - - - - - 6

B. Forfeiture is part of sentencing - - - - - 6

C. Application of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure - - - - - 7

III. Seizure Warrants - 21 U.S.C§ 853(f) - - - - - 7

A. Probable cause standard - - - - - 7

B. Inadequacy of restraining order - - - - - 7

C. Seizure warrants used to seize substitute assets - - - - - 7

D. How long can the Government hold property seized with section 853(f) warrant? - - - 8

E. Application of 21 U.S.C§ 888(c) to criminal forfeiture - - - - - 8

F. Effect of illegal seizure - - - - - 8

G. Seizure of bail money - - - - - 8

H. Application of *James Daniel Good* - - - - - 8

I. *Lis pendens* - - - - - 8

IV. Pretrial Restraint of Assets - - - - - 9

A. Preindictment restraining orders - - - - - 9

B. Duration of post-indictment restraining orders - - - - - 9

C. No prerestraint hearing required - - - - - 9

D. Post-restraint hearings: the *Jones-Farmer* rule - - - - - 9

1. The emerging rule is that a post-restraint, pretrial hearing required only if the Sixth Amendment is implicated - - - - - 9

2. Same rule applies if the property was seized or restrained in a parallel civil forfeiture - 10

3. Some courts are not clear with respect to whether the Sixth Amendment issue is the *only* basis - - - - - 10

4. The Eleventh Circuit does not allow a post-restraint hearing in any event - - - - 11

E. *Lis pendens* - - - - - 11

F. Restraint of foreign assets - - - - - II

1. Restraining order may include order to repatriate property - - - - - 11

2. Challenges to restraint in foreign court - - - - - 12

G. Posting bond in lieu of restrained property - - - - - 12

H. Federal court may restrain or remove state court proceeding - - - - - 12

1. Mortgage foreclosure - - - - - 12

2. Other civil actions - - - - - 12

I. Probable cause for restraint - - - - - 13

J. Issuance of restraining order is appealable under 28 U.S.C§ 1292,13

K. Restraining assets of third parties - - - - - 13

L. Third party entitled to hearing on restraint of property - - - - - 14

M. Filing *lis pendens* on property in which third party has an interest - - - - - 15

N. Spousal privilege - - - - - 15

O. Pretrial restraint of substitute assets - - - - - 15

P. Procedure at hearing on restraining order - - - - -	17
1. Courts differ regarding the application of Rule 65,17	
Q. Burden of proof - - - - -	17
1. Reliance on grand jury's finding of probable cause - - - - -	18
2. Evidence	19
R. Scope of third party challenges to restraining orders - - - - -	19
S. Violation of restraining order - - - - -	19
T. Interlocutory sale - - - - -	19

V. Retention of Property Seized With Civil Process - - - - - 20

VI. Indictment

A. Rule 32.2(a) is a notice provision - - - - -	20
1. Cases decided after Rule 32.2 took effect - - - - -	20
2. Cases decided under old Rule 7(c)(2)	20
B. Specifying amount of money judgment - - - - -	21
C. Extent of defendant's interest need not be specified - - - - -	22
D. Substitute assets need not be listed in the indictment - - - - -	22
E. Motion to dismiss - - - - -	22
F. Vindictiveness - - - - -	23
G. Joinder and severance - - - - -	24

VII. Bifurcated Proceeding - - - - - 24

A. Under Rule 32.2(b), the criminal trial must be bifurcated - - - - -	24
B. Before Rule 32.2 took effect, courts were divided as to whether bifurcation was required -	24

VIII. Burden of Proof / Jury Instructions - - - - - 25

A. <i>Post-Libretti</i> cases - - - - -	25
B. <i>Pre-Libretti</i> cases discussing standard of proof - - - - -	26
1. Section 982 forfeitures - - - - -	26
2. Section 853 forfeitures - - - - -	26
3. RICO forfeitures - - - - -	27
C. Application of <i>Apprendi v. New Jersey</i> , 530 U.S. 466 (2000) - - - - -	27
D. The Government may not shift burden to defendant - - - - -	28
E. Jury instructions - - - - -	29
1. Money judgments - - - - -	29
2. Property involved in money laundering - - - - -	29

IX. Guilty Pleas - - - - - 29

A. Rule 11(f) does not apply to forfeiture - - - - -	29
B. Defendant can plead guilty to the offense but contest the forfeiture - - - - -	29
C. Agreement not to contest civil forfeiture - - - - -	29
D. Withdrawal of guilty plea - - - - -	30
E. Ownership issue - - - - -	30

X. Special Verdict / Right to Jury - - - - - 30

A. Defendant has no constitutional right to jury determination - - - - -	30
1. Rule 32.2(b)(4) requires a specific request that the jury be retained - - - - -	30
2. Case can proceed with 11-member jury - - - - -	31
3. Jury argument on the forfeiture issue - - - - -	31
4. Scope of the jury's responsibility - - - - -	31
5. Jury's exposure to publicity concerning the forfeiture - - - - -	31
6. Before Rule 32.2, the right to jury could be waived - - - - -	32
7. There is no right to a jury if defendant pleads guilty	32
8. Judicial review of the jury's finding - - - - -	32
B. Conduct of the forfeiture phase of the trial - - - - -	32
1. Presence of the defendant - - - - -	32
2. Use of hearsay - - - - -	32
3. Reliance on evidence from "guilt phase" - - - - -	33
C. "Ownership" vs. "nexus" - - - - -	33
1. Rule 32.2 repeals Rule 31(e) - - - - -	33
2. Before Rule 32.2 took effect, some courts held that jury must determine ownership - -	33
3. Other courts limited jury to nexus issue - - - - -	34
4. Ownership an issue for the court to consider before entering an order of forfeiture - -	34
5. Other cases - - - - -	35
6. Rule 31(e) <i>did not</i> permit a jury to nullify a forfeiture - - - - -	35

XI. Money Judgment - - - - - 35

A. Court may order forfeiture of an amount of money - - - - -	35
1. Money laundering cases - - - - -	35
2. Fraud and other economic crimes - - - - -	36
3. Drug cases - - - - -	37
4. RICO cases - - - - -	37
B. If the proceeds were not cash - - - - -	38
C. Money judgment may be based on value of the facilitating property - - - - -	38
D. Defendant is liable for proceeds directed to a third party	38
E. Variance from the indictment - - - - -	38
F. Enforcement of money judgment - - - - -	38
G. Burden of proof on money judgment - - - - -	39

XII. Preliminary Order of Forfeiture - - - - - 39

A. Rule 32.2(b)(2)	39
B. Preliminary order of forfeiture may describe property generically - - - - -	39
C. Corrections to the preliminary order - - - - -	39
D. Preliminary order does not become final, or appealable, until sentencing - - - - -	40
1. Rule 32.2(b)(3) sets forth the authority of the Attorney General under the preliminary order of forfeiture - - - - -	40
2. The defendant must appeal from the preliminary order of forfeiture and may not wait until it is final as to third parties - - - - -	40
E. Seizure of property pursuant to preliminary order - - - - -	41
1. Section 853(g), -	41
2. Contempt for failure to produce forfeited property - - - - -	41

F. Preliminary order may include order to repatriate property - - - - - 41

XIII. Order of Forfeiture / Sentencing - - - - - 42

- A. Forfeiture is mandatory - - - - - 42
- B. Forfeiture must be included in the "verbal pronouncement" 43
- C. Order of forfeiture must be included in the judgment and commitment order - - - - 43
- D. Courts are split - - - - - 43
 - 1. Courts holding that the judgment may be amended - - - - - 43
 - 2. Courts holding that the judgment may not be amended - - - - - 44
- E. Frustrating the forfeiture is punishable as an obstruction of justice - - - - - 45
- F. Forfeiture cannot be used to offset the defendant's fine - - - - - 45
- G. Forfeiture is not a basis for a downward departure - - - - - 46
- H. Revocation of supervised release - - - - - 46
- I. Effect of the sentence on the forfeiture - - - - - 46
 - 1. Inconsistent findings - - - - - 46
- K. Consent decree - - - - - 47
- L. Stay pending appeal - - - - - 47

XV. Joint and Several Liability - - - - - 47

XVI. Conspiracies - - - - - 49

XVII. Substitute Assets - - I - - - - - 49

- A. Procedure for obtaining substitute assets - - - - - 49
 - 1. The procedure for amending the order of forfeiture to include substitute assets is set forth in Rule 32.2(e) 49
 - 2. It is unclear what notice defendant must be given of the motion to substitute assets - - 50
 - 3. Court may amend order of forfeiture at any time to include substitute assets - - - 50
- B. The criteria set forth in section 853(P) must be satisfied - - - - - 50
- C. Order of forfeiture for substitute assets must be satisfied out of something not itself subject to forfeiture - - - - - 51
- D. Substitute assets may be forfeited to satisfy money judgment - - - - - 52
- E. Prosecutor can switch theories offorfeiture - - - - - 53
- F. Post-conviction order restraining substitute assets - - - - - 53
- G. Forfeiture of substitute asset is mandatory - - - - - 53
- H. Third party may contest forfeiture of substitute assets - - - - - 53
- I. Substitute assets in money laundering cases - - - - - 54
- J. Substitute assets may be forfeited if facilitating property is unavailable - - - - - 54
- K. Application of the relation back doctrine to substitute assets - - - - - 54
- L. Attorney cannot object that substitute assets are needed to pay fee - - - - - 54

XVIII. Property Transferred to Third Parties - - - - - 55

- A. Relation back doctrine - - - - - 55
- B. Application of relation back doctrine to substitute assets - - - - - 56
 - 1. The ancillary proceeding gives third parties the right to contest the forfeiture of substitute

assets - - - - - ; - 56

2. Transfers of substitute assets to third parties may be voided under the relation back doctrine 56

C. Procedure for forfeiting property in third party's name as substitute asset - - - - 57

D. Forfeiture may include money transferred to defense counsel as his or her fee - - - - 57

E. Government has option of recovering property from third party or seeking substitute assets - 58

F. Government cannot recover substitute assets from third party - - - - - 58

G. Government may forfeit other property traceable to that property - - - - - 58

H. Government may file a conversion action - - - - - 59

1. Third party may be held in contempt - - - - - 60

XIX. Right of Third Party To Object to the Forfeiture - - - - - 60

A. Third party has no right to intervene in a criminal case until after conviction under sections 1963(i) and 853(k) - - - - - 60

B. Third party cannot seek return of property seized for criminal forfeiture - - - - 61

C. Third party cannot seek division of restrained assets - - - - - 61

D. Third party may not seek dismissal of forfeiture count - - - - - 61

E. Third party cannot object to validity of defendant's guilty plea - - - - - 61

F. Third party cannot object to entry of order of forfeiture 61

G. Third party may not object to motion to forfeit substitute assets - - - - - 61

H. Third party may not move to quash preliminary order of forfeiture - - - - - 62

I. Third party cannot file any action in another court - - - - - 62

1. Mortgage foreclosure - - - - - 62

2. Interpleaders - - - - - 62

3. Bankruptcy - - - - - 62

4. Other civil actions - - - - - 63

J. Third party cannot use section 2255 proceeding - - - - - 63

K. But a third party can seek permissible to conduct an interlocutory sale - - - - 63

XX. Ancillary Hearing-Procedural Issues - - - - - 63

A. Purpose of the ancillary proceeding - - - - - 63

B. Ancillary proceeding is essentially civil in nature - - - - - 64

C. Government cannot force third party to file claim - - - - - 64

D. Notice requirement under section 853(n)(1) - - - - - 64

1. Courts are split over whether section 853(n)(1) requires direct written notice - - - 64

2. Person with actual notice can't complain of lack of notice - - - - - 65

3. Notice of the hearing on the petition - - - - - 65

E. When the ancillary proceeding is commenced - - - - - 65

F. Amendments to the preliminary order of forfeiture - - - - - 66

G. Subject matter jurisdiction - - - - - 66

H. Application of *James Daniel Good* - - - - - 66

I. Application of CAFRA to the ancillary proceeding - - - - - 67

J. Pleading requirements - - - - - 67

1. Petition must be filed under penalty of perjury - - - - - 67

2. Claim must assert basis for the legal interest - - - - - 67

K. Time for filing claim - - - - - 68

L. Third party claims may be dismissed as untimely - - - - - 68

M. Interlocutory sale during ancillary proceeding - - - - -	68
N. Hearing to be held within 30 days where practicable - - - - -	69
O. Corporation must be represented by counsel - - - - -	69
P. No hearing is necessary where court can dismiss claim on the pleadings - - - - -	69
Q. Motion for summary judgment - - - - -	70
R. Court can consider the record in the criminal case - section 853(n)(5) - - - - -	70
S. No right to a jury trial - - - - -	70
T. Burden of proof in the ancillary proceeding - - - - -	71
U. Other constitutional challenges - - - - -	71
V. Rights of third parties to contest forfeiture of substitute assets - - - - -	72
W. EAJA fees - - - - -	72
X. Remedy for lack of notice - - - - -	72
Y. Rule 11 sanctions - - - - -	72

XXI. Choice of Law - - - - - 72

A. Federal law is used to determine the meaning of terms - - - - -	72
B. Federal law is used to determine whether a legal interest is the kind of interest Congress intended to protect - - - - -	73
C. Court must look to state property law - - - - -	73
D. Effect of state court ruling - - - - -	75

XXII. Standing Under Sections 853(n)(2) and 1963(1)(2) - - - - - 76

A. In general - - - - -	76
B. Defendant may not file a claim - - - - -	76
C. Right of codefendant to challenge forfeiture as a third party - - - - -	77
1. Pre-Rule 32.2 law - - - - -	77
2. Under Rule 32.2 - - - - -	77
D. Alter ego may contest decision to ignore the corporate form - - - - -	78
E. Person in bankruptcy may not file a claim - - - - -	78
F. No standing to contest forfeiture of proceeds - - - - -	78
G. General creditors do not have legal interest - - - - -	78
H. Ability to trace assets to defendant is irrelevant - - - - -	80
I. Stockholders do not have standing to challenge forfeiture of corporate assets - - - - -	80
1. Tort victims do not have a legal interest - - - - -	80
K. Judgment creditors - - - - -	80
L. Right of set off - - - - -	81
M. Person whose title is invalid does not have standing - - - - -	81
N. Courts are divided over whether bare legal title is sufficient for standing - - - - -	81

XXIII. Grounds for Recovery in Ancillary Proceeding - - - - - 82

A. The only grounds on which a third party can prevail in the ancillary proceeding - - -	82
B. Just because a third party has standing under section 853(n)(2) does not necessarily mean he or she will prevail - - - - -	83
C. In general, third parties cannot challenge the forfeitability of the property - - - - -	83
D. source of the property may be intertwined with the claimant's assertion that the property was vested in him or her - - - - -	84

- E. Third party cannot assert rights that other third parties might have asserted - - - - 85
- F. Application of 92 *Buena Vista* to criminal forfeiture - - - - - 85

XXIV. Superior Legal Interest Under Section 853(n)(6)(A) - - - - - 85

- A. Paragraph (6)(A) embodies the relation back doctrine - - - - - 85
- B. Legal interest must exist at the time of the crime - - - - - 86
- C. "Innocence" is not required - - - - - 88
- D. Nominal ownership not sufficient - - - - - 88
- E. Traceable ownership interest - - - - - 89
- F. Bailor retains legal interest in bailed property - - - - - 89
- G. Marital property - - - - - 89
- H. Constructive trusts - - - - - 90

XXV. Bona Fide Purchasers Under Section 853(n)(6)(B) - - - - - 91

- A. Court does not reach bona fide purchaser claim if claimant does not first establish a legal right, title, or interest - - - - - 91
- B. Bona fide purchaser provision comes from state commercial law - - - - - 92
- C. Donees/family members are not bona fide purchasers - - - - - 92
- D. Creditors and victims are not bona fide purchasers - - - - - 92
- E. Claimant must be reasonably without cause to believe - - - - - 93
- F. Claimant must give something of value - - - - - 94
- G. Defense attorneys - - - - - 95
- H. Estoppel 96
- I. Mortgagees and lienholders - - - - - 96
- J. Joint ownership of property 96
- K. Person who acquires the property from the defendant and then sells it is not a bona fide purchaser - - - - - 97

XXVI. Discovery In the Ancillary Proceeding - - - - - 97

- A. Rule 32.2(c)(1)(B) - - - - - 97
- B. The Government may withhold discovery requests until the court rules on a motion to dismiss - - - - - 97
- C. Sanctions for failure to comply with discovery - - - - - 97

XXVII. Clear Title to Forfeited Property - - - - - 98

XXVIII. Appeals - - - - - 98

- A. Government appeals - - - - - 98
- B. Procedure - - - - - 98
- C. Ripeness - - - - - 99
- D. Stay pending appeal - - - - - 99

XXIX. Jurisdiction Pending Appeal - - - - - 99

- A. Substitute assets - - - - - 99
- B. Ancillary hearing - - - - - 100
- C. Contempt - - - - - 100

XXX. Fugitive Disentitlement Doctrine	- 100
XXXI. Investigation to Locate Forfeited Assets	100
XXXII. Enforcement of Judgment	101
XXXIII. Abatement	102
XXXIV. Parallel Forfeitures	102
A. Civil and criminal forfeiture	102
B. RICO and money laundering	103
XXXV. Double Jeopardy	103
XXXVI. Federal Forfeiture Statutes Supersede State Law	103
XXXVII. Forfeiture and Bankruptcy	104
XXXVIII. Forfeiture of Retirement Accounts	104
XXXIX. Retroactivity/ <i>Ex Post Facto</i> Clause	105
A. The <i>Ex Post Facto</i> Clause bars retroactive application of substantive changes to the criminal forfeiture laws, but not procedural changes	105
B. Forfeiture of proceeds under sections 981(a)(1)(C) and 2461(c)	105
C. Substitute assets	105
D. Relation back doctrine	105
E. Application of Rule 32.2	106
XL. Disposition of Assets	106
A. Appointment of trustee	106
B. Sale or disposition of forfeited property	106
C. Persons eligible to buy forfeited property	107
D. Warranting clear title	107
E. Remission to victims	107
F. Division of jointly held property	107
XLI. Forfeiture and Restitution	108
A. Purpose of criminal forfeiture is punishment	108
B. Courts are split over whether defendant is entitled to credit against the forfeiture for amount paid as restitution	108
C. Defendant is not entitled to credit against the restitution or fine for the amount forfeited	109
D. Government may forego forfeiture in favor of restitution	10
E. The court will not second guess the Government's decision	110
F. Restitution order may be offset to reflect amount of forfeited funds remitted to victims	110
G. All Writs Act may be used for post-conviction restraint of property for restitution	110

XLII. DOJ Approval Requirements	111
XLIII. Extradition	111
XLIV. Section 2255/ Court of Federal Claims	111
A. Section 2255 motion does not apply	III
B. Ground that could have been raised on direct appeal	111
C. Court of Federal Claims	111
D. Conflict of interest/forfeiture of attorney's fees	112
XLV. Prosecutorial Immunity	112
XLVI. Pre -Judgment Interest	112
XLVII. Property Subject to Forfeiture	112
A. "Proceeds"	112
1. Property defendant would not have obtained or retained but for the criminal offense	112
2. Possession with intent to distribute/conspiracy	113
3. Gross proceeds versus net profits	113
4. Proof that property is drug proceeds	114
5. Application of section 853(d)	114
B. Traceable property/property that appreciates in value/double counting	114
C. Facilitating property	115
D. RICO	115
1. All interests in the RICO enterprise	115
2. Calculation of the amount subject to forfeiture	117
E. Health care fraud	117
F. Money laundering	117
G. Real property	117
H. Conspiracy	117
I. Civil forfeiture	117
XLVIII. Application of Section 2461(c)	118

Table of Contents Part III - Excessive Fines

I. Criminal and Civil Forfeitures Are limited by the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment	1
II. <i>Bajakajian</i> Applies to Civil Forfeiture	1
III. <i>Bajakajian</i> Applies to Criminal Forfeiture Beyond Drugs and Money Laundering	2
IV. <i>Corpus Delicti</i> Property /Instrumentalities	2

V. CTR and CMIR Cases	3
VI. Bulk Cash Smuggling	4
VII. Money Laundering Cases	5
A. Laundered proceeds	5
B. Other property involved	5
C. Sting cases	6
VIII. Proceeds Cases	7
A. The Eighth Amendment does not apply to the forfeiture of proceeds, or if it does, the forfeiture is never "grossly disproportional to the gravity of the offense"	7
B. <i>Pre-Bajakajian</i> , all courts held that the forfeiture of proceeds is never excessive	7
C. Joint and several liability	8
IX. Facilitating Property	9
A. Cases using the sentencing guidelines to measure the gravity of the offense	9
B. Cases using the maximum statutory fine as the measure of the gravity of the offense	10
C. Other courts continue to incorporate various other factors into the analysis	10
D. The personal characteristics of the property owner should be irrelevant	11
E. Facilitating property/third parties	12
X. RICO Cases	13
XI. Excessive Fines Analysis in Non-Forfeiture Cases	13
XII. <i>Pre-Bajakajian</i> Cases Applying <i>Austin</i> to Facilitating Property	13
XIII. Property That Appreciates in Value	18
XIV. Eighth Amendment Procedure	18
A. Standing to raise Eighth Amendment claim	18
B. Defendant has burden of making threshold showing	18
C. The district court is required to make findings	19
D. Eighth Amendment challenge may not be raised pretrial	19
E. Claimant must raise Eighth Amendment claim in response to motion for summary judgment	19
F. Motion for summary judgment based on Eighth Amendment violation	20
G. Defendant may not use section 2255 to challenge civil forfeiture	20
H. Eighth Amendment issue is for the court, not the jury	20
I. Court must mitigate forfeiture to avoid Eighth Amendment violation	21
J. Punishments imposed by the state in parallel proceedings	21
K. Collateral estoppel	21
L. CMIR cases	21
XV. Retroactive Application of <i>Bajakajian</i>	22

A. <i>Bajakajian</i> does not apply retroactively - - - - -	22
B. Rule 60(b) motions - - - - -	22
XVI. Discovery on Eighth Amendment Issue - - - - -	22